2012 Policy Conference on Japan’s Fiscal Sustainability

Canon Institute for Global Studies

Debt and Fiscal Imbalance in Japan and the U.S.

Selo İmrohoroğlu
University of Southern California
Marshall School of Business
June 1, 2012, Tokyo
Fiscal Imbalance Initiative

- Fiscal imbalances can have adverse long-term macroeconomic consequences.
  - Although fiscal imbalances may be temporary situations, they confront citizens with uncertainty about how the imbalances will ultimately be repaired by some worrisome combination of tax hikes, reductions in government expenditures, and outright defaults on government bonds and entitlements.

- Designing responsible, effective economic and social policy—and understanding its true costs and economic impact—requires dispassionate, scientific analysis of the intertemporal dimensions of taxes and government expenditures over time.
  - The Congressional Budget Office, the Treasury, and other government entities engage in budget forecasts that are supposed to provide input into the conduct of fiscal policy. However, these forecasts are shackled by scoring rules and other bureaucratic restraints that prevent them from making realistic evaluations of the likely macroeconomic ramifications of legislation.
Fiscal Imbalance Initiative

- The Becker Friedman Institute, University of Chicago
- Initiative to nurture more credible evaluations of current and alternative fiscal policies, based on a broad array of the best macro- and microeconomic research.
Plan for my comments

- The fiscal imbalance in the U.S.
- What are some politically feasible (not claiming possible) solutions?
- The fiscal imbalance in Japan
- What are some possible solutions
THE FISCAL CLIFF

How America Can Avoid a Fall and Stay on Top
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U.S. Budget Deficits

Source: Office of Management and Budget (2012), Table 1.2
U.S. Debt

Source: Office of Management and Budget (2012), Table 7.1.
U.S. Aging

Source: Congressional Budget Office (2010a).
CBO: Marginal Tax Rate 25% ↑ 35%
Simpson-Bowles Solution

1. Eliminate tax deductions to increase government revenues and lower income tax rates.

2. Reduce and reform government expenditures on public health care, Social Security, other entitlement programs, and discretionary side.

$4 Trillion over the next 20 years
Aging in Japan

- Most severe among advanced economies
- Large expected fiscal burden
- If taxes are used exclusively, 30% increase
Japan will rapidly age with fewer children

- Population Projections for Japan (December 2006) -

Total population and share of population aged 65 and over

Annual birth (rate)

2005

1.09 million (1.26)

2030

0.695 million (1.24)

2055

0.457 million (1.26)

Japan’s Economic and Social Policy Options: Go Big or Go Home

- Rise in retirement age
- Tax policy (rise in earnings tax, capital tax, consumption tax, change in progressivity)
- Fall in social security benefits
- Rise in fertility
- Rise in female labor force participation
- Rise in female wage (by a shift from contracted to regular)
- Rise in immigration (selective in age, skill, duration of stay)
An Accounting Model

- State vector = \{i,j,e\} at each time \(t\)
- Age: \(i\) (adult age), (1 to 100, both dependents and adults)
- Gender: \(j = \{m,f\}\)
- Employment state: \(e\)
  - “regular” employee (seishain, seiki-koyou)
  - “contingent” (hi-seishain, hi-seiki-koyou)
  - unemployed and not in labor force
- Immigration state, \(\gamma\): years since immigration,
  - 1 for the first year of immigration, etc.
  - 0 denotes natives.
- Time: \(t\)
Japanese Microeconomic Data and Social Insurance Institutions

- Empirical age-income and age-consumption profiles
- Close the model with a linkage from these to age-asset profiles
- Aggregate individuals
- Calculate the path of JGB into the future
- Policy experiments to see what is “big”
- General equilibrium model to design policies